



1
00:00:32,349 --> 00:00:04,460
but with these caveats 55% to 60%

2
00:00:40,130 --> 00:00:36,440
there's a lot of plans this is

3
00:00:43,430 --> 00:00:40,140
and at the University of Colorado's

4
00:00:45,710 --> 00:00:43,440
marriage of science class the last time

5
00:00:58,630 --> 00:00:45,720
after class we actually did the class

6
00:01:05,470 --> 00:01:02,620
the layout of each of the softball and

7
00:01:08,200 --> 00:01:05,480
for the period which the plastic hunters

8
00:01:10,080 --> 00:01:08,210
and actress went through very very

9
00:01:15,080 --> 00:01:10,090
pristine

10
00:01:21,420 --> 00:01:18,150
statements the presentation before that

11
00:01:26,290 --> 00:01:21,430
it's clear that the abused is a our

12
00:01:31,780 --> 00:01:29,860
assuming that the process inaccuracy is

13
00:01:36,970 --> 00:01:31,790

independent of

14

00:01:43,120 --> 00:01:36,980

results confidence that your swing

15

00:01:52,190 --> 00:01:47,810

we know that in fact the strength

16

00:01:54,050 --> 00:01:52,200

there is a significance of results which

17

00:01:55,710 --> 00:01:54,060

are going to actually use them to mr.

18

00:01:57,660 --> 00:01:55,720

fortune

19

00:02:01,130 --> 00:01:57,670

we've got additional evidence of that

20

00:02:01,140 --> 00:02:18,430

the students

21

00:02:23,210 --> 00:02:21,260

well what I say about the significance

22

00:02:24,620 --> 00:02:23,220

of how the results are used which should

23

00:02:27,470 --> 00:02:24,630

not be mistaken for statistical

24

00:02:30,590 --> 00:02:27,480

significance if you've got something

25

00:02:33,199 --> 00:02:30,600

riding on the outcome that figure of

26
00:02:37,010 --> 00:02:33,209
fifty-five to sixty percent that I was

27
00:02:42,229 --> 00:02:37,020
using as a benchmark was in fact taken

28
00:02:44,420 --> 00:02:42,239
from a personal and admittedly informal

29
00:02:46,670 --> 00:02:44,430
and probably sample biased survey of

30
00:02:49,430 --> 00:02:46,680
cases where people actually have been

31
00:02:53,060 --> 00:02:49,440
using ARV to try to guide real

32
00:02:55,520 --> 00:02:53,070
investments that involved real money yes

33
00:02:57,350 --> 00:02:55,530
it's there's a lot less pressure on you

34
00:03:01,460 --> 00:02:57,360
if there's nothing riding on the outcome

35
00:03:05,410 --> 00:03:01,470
and that might have been a factor in

36
00:03:08,240 --> 00:03:05,420
Courtney's experiment that would have

37
00:03:11,870 --> 00:03:08,250
diluted their accuracy if it had been

38
00:03:14,330 --> 00:03:11,880

present however if I assume the accuracy

39

00:03:16,880 --> 00:03:14,340

was diluted the same strategy still

40

00:03:30,890 --> 00:03:16,890

applies and still showed a good chance

41

00:03:38,550 --> 00:03:35,369

selected piece Part A Part B Part II

42

00:03:41,870 --> 00:03:38,560

today's of the leading a party product

43

00:03:58,679 --> 00:03:41,880

standpoint countries are decent

44

00:04:22,800 --> 00:04:04,229

almost always absolutely free of error

45

00:04:28,930 --> 00:04:26,710

Joe's comment in summary is that a

46

00:04:31,300 --> 00:04:28,940

highly attractive target will tend to

47

00:04:33,070 --> 00:04:31,310

draw ARV viewings even if it's not the

48

00:04:36,460 --> 00:04:33,080

one that should be hit which is a

49

00:04:39,100 --> 00:04:36,470

problem and that automated analysis is

50

00:04:41,050 --> 00:04:39,110

more reliable than human analysis if

51
00:04:47,470 --> 00:04:41,060
you've done the upfront work to make it

52
00:04:50,170 --> 00:04:47,480
feasible alright you know there is no

53
00:05:05,600 --> 00:04:50,180
such thing as free lunch something for

54
00:05:13,040 --> 00:05:09,360
okay the the question or comment is that

55
00:05:17,129 --> 00:05:13,050
this can't work if everybody uses it and

56
00:05:19,080 --> 00:05:17,139
the the quick answer is of course not

57
00:06:03,749 --> 00:05:19,090
but I don't think it's likely that

58
00:06:06,809 --> 00:06:03,759
everybody will have done a little bit of

59
00:06:08,879 --> 00:06:06,819
work looking at displacement and my

60
00:06:13,379 --> 00:06:08,889
conclusion is that the displacement

61
00:06:15,899 --> 00:06:13,389
problem is very intractable and that

62
00:06:18,330 --> 00:06:15,909
most of the clever ideas that people

63
00:06:21,809 --> 00:06:18,340

have come up with to try to avert it

64

00:06:25,529 --> 00:06:21,819

don't actually work all that well so at

65

00:06:27,089 --> 00:06:25,539

the moment it's it's very much an open